UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FINJAN, INC.,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

v.

ZSCALER, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 17-cv-06946-JST

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

As shown in the attached table as Exhibit A, Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. has several active cases in this district. The cases assert overlapping claims within the same group of patents. The unmanaged simultaneous litigation of these cases presents the risk of redundant proceedings, inconsistent outcomes, and the inefficient use of judicial and litigant resources.

Accordingly, the parties, and each of them, are now ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why this case should not be stayed pending the resolution of the other cases construing identical claims from United States Patent Nos. 7,647,633; 7,975,305; and 8,677,494, as set forth on the attached table.

A written response to this order is due December 21, 2018. The court will hold a hearing on this order to show cause on January 2, 2019 at 2:00 p.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 11, 2018



Northern District of California United States District Court

EXHIBIT A

The chart below identifies the Finjan Actions pending in this District in which Finjan is asserting claims at issue in this action and the overlapping claims in each case.

Finjan Patent	Claims Currently Asserted Against Fortinet	Claims Currently Asserted in Other Pending N.D. Cal. Cases
	Claims 1-44	Cisco Action
		Claims 1, 7, 15, and 43
		Sonicwall Action
		Claims 1, 7, 15, 16, 41, and 43
(0.44 D. 4)		Juniper Action
'844 Patent		Claims 1, 15, and 41
		Check Point Action
		Claims 1, 15, 41, and 42
		Qualys Action
		Claims 1-44
	Claims 1-38	PAN Action
		Claims 1-38
		Sonicwall Action
'968 Patent		Claims 1, 7, and 11
900 Patent		Check Point Action
		Claims 1, 9, 10, and 33
		Qualys Action
		Claims 1-38
	Claims 1-35	PAN Action
'822 Patent		Claims 1-35
022 Tatent		Sonicwall Action
		Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, and 10

1			PAN Action
		Claims 1-22	Claims 1-22
2			Juniper Action
3			Claims 1 and 17
4	'731 Patent		Check Point Action
5			Claims 1, 2, 14, 16, and 17
6			Qualys Action
7			Claims 1-22
8		Claims 1-41	Cisco Action
9	(C22 P. 1. 1		Claims 1, 8, 13, and 14
			PAN Action
10			Claims 1-41
11			Zscaler Action
12			Claims 1-4, 8-11, 14, and 19
13	'633 Patent		Sonicwall Action
۱4			Claims 1, 8, 9, 14
5			Juniper Action
6			Claims 1, 8, 14, and 19
7			Check Point Action
			Claims 8, 9, 14, 20, and 34
.8		Claims 3-4, 6-12, and 14-25	Zscaler Action
9			Claims 1, 2, 5-9, and 11-13
20	'305 Patent		Sonicwall Action
21	303 I atent		Claims 6, 11, 12, and 13
$_{22} $			Qualys Action
$ _{23} $			Claims 3-4, 6-12, and 14-25
	'086 Patent	Claims 1-42	Check Point Action
24	TUSO Patent		Claims 24, 33, and 42
25			

1			PAN Action
2	'408 Patent	Claims 1-35	Claims 1-35
			Sonicwall Action
3			Claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 22
4			Qualys Action
5			Claims 1-35
6			Cisco Action
7			Claims 10, 11, 14, and 15
8			PAN Action
9			Claims 1-18
10			Zscaler Action
11		Claims 3-5, and 7-18	Claims 10 and 14
12			Bitdefender Action
13			Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, and 14-16
14	'494 Patent		
			Sonicwall Action
15			Claims 10, 14, and 18
16			Juniper Action
17			Claims 10, 14, 16, and 18
18			Check Point Action
19			Claims 10, 14, and 15
20			Qualys Action
21			Claims 3-5, and 7-18

Courts in this District first addressed the issue of consolidation of actions involving Finjan's patents in *Finjan, Inc. v. FireEye, Inc.*, Case No. 4:13-cv-03133-SBA ("FireEye Action"), which eventually settled and is no longer pending. In the FireEye action, the defendant filed a motion (FireEye Action, Dkt. No. 25) seeking to relate the case to the then-pending Blue Coat and Websense